RUBRICS AND RECORDINGS: DEVELOPING ASSESSMENT SKILLS

Matthew C. Murdock, D.A.

Trevecca Nazarene University

Director of Instrumental Studies

RECORDING REHEARSALS

- Zoom Recorder, IPhone, Laptop, Dropbox, YouTube, Skype, Publishing Websites
- "Back in the Day" cassette tape recorder and external mic
- Concert/Contest Preparation
 - Record during class
 - Listen during daily commute
 - Plan for next rehearsal

COMMUNICATING WITH STUDENTS

- Share areas of focus with ensemble
 - Verbally
 - Singing
 - Playing on an instrument
- Play excerpts for class
- Engage students in meaningful dialogue
 - Musical Concerns
 - Possible Solutions
- Need for Clarity in discussion
 - Musical Terms and Definitions
 - Means of Assessment

SHAPING THE DIALOGUE

- Revise language in the state ensemble judging sheet
- Provide each student with copy
- Place on band website
- Enlarge and post new assessment tool
- Refer to rubric during rehearsal



INSTRUMENTAL **ENSEMBLES**

1- Outstanding in nearly every detail

1.5- Some minor flaws

2- Frequent minor flaws

2.5- Some major flaws

3- Frequent major flaws

3.5- Continuous major flaws

4- Unacceptable in nearly every detail

CATEGORIES	1 1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	GENERAL REMARKS
Intonation	٠.	1.5	-	2.5	3	3.5	-4	GENERAL KEMAKKS
Consider: Individual, Section	1					1 1		the state of the state of
and Full Ensemble	1	l i						The second second
Tone Quality	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63 67 6 B
Consider: Resonance, Control.	1	1 1						and the same of th
Clarity, Focus, Consistency, Warmth	1	1 1					-	Ch Ch
and Bow Control	1	1 1					45	8 m
Articulation, Tonguing, and	_	-		_		1	200	
Bow Techniques		1 1			Ob.	-44	No.	The state of the s
Consider: Attacks, Releases, Tongue		1			30	1 1	-	
Style, Bow Usage and Bow Distribution		1		1 8	. 1	D-		600
Note Accuracy	_		- 40	-	-		40-	2-400)
Consider: Technique, Fluency and/or		- 59	순찍	5		1 1	~ e ₂	
Mechanical Skill	a.	and the	Sep-			-62	Elec."	
Rhythmic Accuracy	1.9	-4	B-	-	-00	-	-	
Consider: Accuracy of Note Values,	190	₽		l a	2	Bo. 1	▶	
Rest Values, Duration, Pulse.	LR	b.		et War	Ed.	1		
Steadiness, Correctness of Meters	100	P	<u>⊸</u> €			1 1		
Interpretation and Musicianship	-	65.	<i>æ</i>	-		-		
Consider: Style, Phrasing, Teateon		- AS				l i		
Expression and Emotional Involvement	-	١ ٦	>					
Dynamics	1					-		
Consider Appropriate range of	•			1 1				
dynamic contrast by Individuals,	1							
Sections, and or Full Ensembles						1 1		
Balance and Blend								
Consider: Melodic Line, Accompanying	1	1 1				1 1		
Parts, Chord Balance Section and/or						Ιİ		
Ensemble Barry		Ιi		Ιİ		l 1		
Other Factors								
Consider: Suitable Cuts, Appearance	1							
relating to performance, Poise, Posture,								
and Concert Decorum							- 1	

TOTAL POINTS

CIRCLE RATING:

9-13.5 Gold Division

14-18 Silver Division 18.5-22.5 Bronze Division 23 or more Participation

COMMENTS ON PERFORMANCE: [Judges will please include suggestions for improvement, use back if needed]

Circle any infraction that applies

Measures Not Numbered / No Score Provided: One Division Rating Lower

Selection not on Required List:

District- Change from Group I to Group II State- One Division Rating Lower

Copyright @ 2008, ISSMA, Inc.

Judge's Signature

SILVER BRONZE

PART.

NEW DEFINITIONS

- Intonation = In Tune
- Tone Quality = Good/Bad Sound
- Articulation/Tonguing
 - Do the notes start and stop at same time
 - Are short notes short i.e. long notes, slur groups
- Note Accuracy = Right Notes
- Rhythmic Accuracy
 - Right Rhythms throughout section
 - Consistent tempo, time, or speed

NEW DEFINITIONS

- Interpretation and Musicianship
 - Does the performance match indicated style
 - Can you focus on the big picture
- Dynamics = Loud/Soft
- Balance and Blend
 - Can you hear melody, counter melody, harmonic support
 - Do you know your role
- Other Factors
 - How do you look, Posture, Appearance
 - Does the group look focused or attentive



INSTRUMENTAL **ENSEMBLES**

1- Outstanding in nearly every detail

1.5- Some minor flaws

2- Frequent minor flaws

2.5- Some major flaws

3- Frequent major flaws

3.5- Continuous major flaws

4- Unacceptable in nearly every detail

CATEGORIES	1 1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	GENERAL REMARKS
Intonation	٠.	1.5	-	2.5	3	3.5	-4	GENERAL KEMAKKS
Consider: Individual, Section						1 1		the state of the state of
and Full Ensemble	1	l i						The second second
Tone Quality	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63 67 6 B
Consider: Resonance, Control.	1	1 1						and the same of th
Clarity, Focus, Consistency, Warmth	1	1 1					-	Ch Ch
and Bow Control	1	1 1					45	8 m
Articulation, Tonguing, and	_	-		_		1	200	
Bow Techniques		1 1			Ob.	-44	No.	The state of the s
Consider: Attacks, Releases, Tongue		1			30	1 1	-	
Style, Bow Usage and Bow Distribution		1		1 8	. 1	D-		600
Note Accuracy	_		- 40	-	-		40-	2-400)
Consider: Technique, Fluency and/or		- 59	순찍	5		1 1	~ e ₂	
Mechanical Skill	a.	and the	Sep-			69	Elec."	
Rhythmic Accuracy	1.9	-4	B-	-	-00	-	-	
Consider: Accuracy of Note Values,	190	₽		l a	2	Bo. 1	▶	
Rest Values, Duration, Pulse.	LR	b.		et War	Ed.	1		
Steadiness, Correctness of Meters	1000	1	<u>⊸</u> €			1 1		
Interpretation and Musicianship	-	65.	<i>æ</i>	-		-		
Consider: Style, Phrasing, Teateon		- AS				l i		
Expression and Emotional Involvement	-	١ ٦	>					
Dynamics	100					-		
Consider Appropriate range of	•			1 1				
dynamic contrast by Individuals,	1							
Sections, and or Full Ensembles						1 1		
Balance and Blend								
Consider: Melodic Line, Accompanying	1	1 1				1 1		
Parts, Chord Balance Section and/or						Ιİ		
Ensemble Barry		Ιİ		Ιİ		l 1		
Other Factors								
Consider: Suitable Cuts, Appearance	1							
relating to performance, Poise, Posture,								
and Concert Decorum							- 1	

TOTAL POINTS

CIRCLE RATING:

9-13.5 Gold Division

14-18 Silver Division 18.5-22.5 Bronze Division 23 or more Participation

COMMENTS ON PERFORMANCE: [Judges will please include suggestions for improvement, use back if needed]

Circle any infraction that applies

Measures Not Numbered / No Score Provided: One Division Rating Lower

Selection not on Required List:

District- Change from Group I to Group II State- One Division Rating Lower

Copyright @ 2008, ISSMA, Inc.

Judge's Signature

SILVER BRONZE

PART.

HOW THE NUMBERS WORK

- Most judges begin by shading all categories in an a second rating.
- As the band performs, each category may slide up or down
- In this rubric, judges start by shading all categories under the score of 2



INSTRUMENTAL **ENSEMBLES**

1- Outstanding in nearly every detail

1.5- Some minor flaws

2- Frequent minor flaws

2.5- Some major flaws

3- Frequent major flaws

3.5- Continuous major flaws

4- Unacceptable in nearly every detail

CATEGORIES	1 1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	GENERAL REMARKS
Intonation	٠.	1.5	-	2.5	3	3.5	-4	GENERAL KEMAKKS
Consider: Individual, Section						1 1		the state of the state of
and Full Ensemble		l i						The second second
Tone Quality	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63 67 6 B
Consider: Resonance, Control.	1	1 1						and the same of th
Clarity, Focus, Consistency, Warmth	1	1 1					-	Ch Ch
and Bow Control	1	1 1					45	8 m
Articulation, Tonguing, and	_	-	_	_		1	200	
Bow Techniques		1 1			Ob.	4	No.	The state of the s
Consider: Attacks, Releases, Tongue		1			30	1 1	-	
Style, Bow Usage and Bow Distribution		1		1 8	. 1	D-		600
Note Accuracy	_	-	- 40	-	-		40-	2-400)
Consider: Technique, Fluency and/or		- 59	순찍	5		1 1	~ e ₂	
Mechanical Skill	a.	and the	Sep-			-62	Elec."	
Rhythmic Accuracy	1.9	-4	B-	-	-00	-	-	
Consider: Accuracy of Note Values,	190	₽		l a	2	Bo. 1	▶	
Rest Values, Duration, Pulse.	LR	b.		et War	Ed.	1		
Steadiness, Correctness of Meters	1000	P	<u>⊸</u> €			1 1		
Interpretation and Musicianship	-	65.	<i>D</i>	-		-		
Consider: Style, Phrasing, Teateon		- AS				l i		
Expression and Emotional Involvement	-	١ ٦	>					
Dynamics	100					-		
Consider Appropriate range of	•			1 1				
dynamic contrast by Individuals,	1							
Sections, and or Full Ensembles						1 1		
Balance and Blend								
Consider: Melodic Line, Accompanying	1	1 1				1 1		
Parts, Chord Balance Section and/or						Ιİ		
Ensemble Barry		Ιİ		Ιİ				
Other Factors								
Consider: Suitable Cuts, Appearance	1							
relating to performance, Poise, Posture,						1 1		
and Concert Decorum							- 1	

TOTAL POINTS

CIRCLE RATING:

9-13.5 Gold Division

14-18 Silver Division 18.5-22.5 Bronze Division 23 or more Participation

COMMENTS ON PERFORMANCE: [Judges will please include suggestions for improvement, use back if needed]

Circle any infraction that applies

Measures Not Numbered / No Score Provided: One Division Rating Lower

Selection not on Required List:

District- Change from Group I to Group II State- One Division Rating Lower

Copyright @ 2008, ISSMA, Inc.

Judge's Signature

SILVER BRONZE

PART.

MEANINGFUL COMMUNICATION

- Combine the use of musical terms with rating numbers, remember the descriptors
- Identify areas of concern, pinpoint the cause
- Discuss possible solutions, techniques
- Listen frequently, revisit previous recordings to track improvement
- Use past recordings from other groups
- Contact directors from excellent band programs
 - Inquire about using recordings
 - Compare to publisher recordings
 - Set Goal for Improvement

CONTINUE TO "TWEAK" THE SYSTEM

- Record and provide immediate information
- Use recordings on YouTube (good and bad)
- Set up a classroom Dropbox account
 - Post rehearsals
 - Post quality recordings
 - Post assignments for assessment
- Pull students from band to critique
- Plan a director swap
 - Have a director come in to work with your group
 - Return the favor
 - Skype, Facetime with another band
- Continue to experiment with new ideas

DEVELOP INDEPENDENT MUSICIANS

- Add confidence to ensemble by improving assessment skills
- Provide ownership in the improvement process of the ensemble
- Create meaningful dialogue with high level questions
- Using these tools, my bands (including middle school), could assess within a half point of the judge's average score after listening to the same performance.

RUBRICS AND RECORDINGS: DEVELOPING ASSESSMENT SKILLS

Matthew C. Murdock, D.A.
Trevecca Nazarene University
Director of Instrumental Studies
mmurdock@trevecca.edu